



## 160 ECONOMIC RESEARCH TEAM

CONTESTANT NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

### RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT

#### PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (130 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (130 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (130 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges' Points \_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of Judges \_\_\_\_\_

**AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_ (130 maximum)

#### TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (170 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 2 (170 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Judge 3 (170 points) \_\_\_\_\_

Total Judges' Points \_\_\_\_\_

Divided by # of Judges \_\_\_\_\_

**AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_ (170 maximum)

**TOTAL SCORE** \_\_\_\_\_ (300 maximum)

**RANK**

## (160) Economic Research Team

Judge Number \_\_\_\_\_

Team Number \_\_\_\_\_

### Presentation Scoring Rubric

Evaluation of Oral Presentation	Below Average	Average	Good	Excellent	Points Awarded
Opening: Gain attention, states purpose, preview main ideas	1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	
Content of presentation: Material included is relevant and supports main ideas; content is logically presented	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Effectiveness of presentation: Voice projection, transitions, flow, stage presence, etc.	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Quality of problem solution: Accuracy of information, creativity of solution	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Summary: Restatement of purpose and review of main points	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Answers to judges' questions: answers are accurate and complete	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	
Setup lasted no longer than three (3) minutes				5	
Presentation lasted no longer than seven (7 minutes)				5	
At least two original team members in attendance at time of presentation				10	
<b>TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (130 points maximum)</b>					

***Props and/or additional items shall not be used as a basis for scoring.***

**TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 300**

**PRESENTATION WILL BE STOPPED AT SEVEN MINUTES**



## JUDGE'S COMMENTS

### (160) Economic Research Team (S)

Member ID/Team Number \_\_\_\_\_

Judge Number \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS:** (to be viewed by contestant)

*(Judges: please provide positive feedback and areas of improvement in a constructive manner regarding your analysis of his/her competition.)*

**AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT:**

**REASON FOR DISQUALIFICATION:** *(if applicable)*